

Exhibit A

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
1	ECF No. 3243, 3244: Deposition Excerpts of Deok-Yun Kim	3292, 3453	Annual Sales Information: The Samsung SDI Defendants ("SDI") claim this court record describes "annual sales information for Samsung-affiliated companies." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies.	Court Order - ECF No. 3498: "These documents are voluminous, and the Court simply lacks the capacity to conduct a thorough review of all parties' submissions and their claims of sealability. Accordingly, as the Court has done previously, the motions are GRANTED with the proviso that 'the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access the sealed documents.' ECF No. 1512, at 1."
2	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244, 3410: "Full Network of Samsung Intra-Group Shareholdings (1998, 2002 2007)"	3292, 3414, 3453	Corporate Governance Information: SDI claim this court record "identifies commercially-sensitive information regarding SDI's corporate structure and governance, and its ownership interest in other Samsung affiliates." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
3	ECF Nos. 1527-1533, 1538, 1539, 1730, 1731, 1945, 1946, 2208, 2339, 2340, 2968, 2969, 2980, 2981, 3243-3246, 3254, 3281, 3286, 3287: Deposition Excerpts of Jae In Lee	1394, 1495, 1680, 1921, 2226, 2357, 2980, 3063, 3292, 3294, 3306, 3453	CRTs and non-CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's CRTs business, including "sales and market analyses"; "business practices, competitive position and supply strategies"; "pricing practices, business practices, competitive position, and supply strategies"; "business practices, sales strategies and sales volume to specific customers, customer relationships, and CRT component cost information"; "production methods, capabilities and strategies"; "pricing strategies and procedures"; "price-setting practices, negotiating tactics, sales processes, and competitive positions"; "business practices, sales strategies and sales trends to specific customers" and "about customers and SDI's relationships with specific customers"; "sales strategies, competitive position, and relationships with and sales volume to specific customers." SDI also claims that this information "is not limited to CRTs." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Court Order - ECF No. 1512: "This review requires the Court and its limited staff to sift through thousands of pages of documents. The Court observes that many of the documents that have been designated as sealable are of less than recent vintage and relate to business practices centered on an obsolete technology. Accordingly, the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access the sealed documents. If such motions are filed, the Court will not hesitate to appoint a Special Master to review the documents to be unsealed, whose fee shall be paid directly by the party seeking to preserve confidentiality." <i>See also Order 3498:</i> "These documents are voluminous, and the Court simply lacks the capacity to conduct a thorough review of all parties' submissions and their claims of sealability. Accordingly, as the Court has done previously, the motions are GRANTED with the proviso that 'the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access the sealed documents.' ECF No. 1512, at 1."

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
4	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244: SDCRT-00767736	3292, 3453	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document is either "a copy of a SDI's 'Samsung Electronics: 2003 Sales Strategy' dated September 12, 2002" or "a SDI PowerPoint Presentation titled 'CDT Business Status' dated September 12, 2002." SDI claims this document contains information regarding its "analyses of display markets; competitive positions; business strategies; prices; sales, sales volume, and sales strategies to specific customers, and SDI's sales forecast." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
5	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0012333	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this court record "describe[s] and analyze[s] SDI's customers, distribution chain, sales strategy, retail analysis, and other competitive positions and business practices" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
6	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0024467E	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this court record "describe[s] and analyze[s] SDI's customers, distribution chain, sales strategy, retail analysis, and other competitive positions and business practices" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
7	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0002588-89	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document "analyze [<i>sic</i>] and describes SDI's competitive positions, major customers, negotiating tactics, business strategies, and other industries that are not the subject of this case." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
8	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0007539-53	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document "analyze [<i>sic</i>] and describes SDI's competitive positions, major customers, negotiating tactics, business strategies, and other industries that are not the subject of this case." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
9	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0076853	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document "analyze [<i>sic</i>] and describes SDI's competitive positions, major customers, negotiating tactics, business strategies, and other industries that are not the subject of this case." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
10	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0076954	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document "analyze [<i>sic</i>] and describes SDI's competitive positions, major customers, negotiating tactics, business strategies, and other industries that are not the subject of this case." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
11	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0087178	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that this document "analyze [<i>sic</i>] and describes SDI's competitive positions, major customers, negotiating tactics, business strategies, and other industries that are not the subject of this case." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
12	ECF No. 3253: Deposition Excerpts of Kyung Chul Oh	3296	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's "production methods, capabilities, strategies, negotiating tactics, business relationships, and competitive positions" in the CRTs business. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
13	ECF Nos. 1945, 1946, 3274: Deposition Excerpts of Michael Son	1921, 3305	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's CRTs "business practices, pricing practices, market analysis and production capabilities" as well as "management structure and customers" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 1492, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.
14	ECF Nos. 1945, 1946, 3243, 3244, 3819, 3820: Deposition Excerpts of Sang Kyu Park	1921, 3292, 3453, 3822	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's CRTs "business practices, sales strategies and sales trends to specific customers"; information about "the structure and practices of SDI's sales teams"; as well as information concerning "SDI's sales and pricing strategies" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 1942 and subsequent Order 3849, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
15	ECF Nos. 2980, 2981, 3168, 3243, 3244, 3410: Deposition Excerpts of Stephan Haggard	2980, 3168, 3292, 3414, 3453, 3519	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts describe information concerning SDI's CRTs "business practices, strategies, corporate structure, corporate governance and customer relationships." In addition, SDI claims these excerpts also contain information "personnel decisions" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Court Order - ECF No. 3498: "These documents are voluminous, and the Court simply lacks the capacity to conduct a thorough review of all parties' submissions and their claims of sealability. Accordingly, as the Court has done previously, the motions are GRANTED with the proviso that 'the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access the sealed documents.' ECF No. 1512, at 1." See also Order - ECF No. 3626: "As the Court has ordered previously, the motions are GRANTED with the proviso that 'the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access these sealed documents.' ECF No. 1512, at 1."
16	ECF Nos. 1945, 1946, 3280, 3282: Deposition Excerpts of Dae Eui Lee	1921, 3302	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's "business practices, customer relationship and manufacturing practices" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 1492, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
17	ECF Nos. 3253, 3264, 3281, 3286, 3287: Deposition Excerpts of Woong Ray Kim	3304, 3306, 3296	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim these deposition excerpts contain information concerning SDI's "customer relationships, management structure and distribution channels" with respect to CRTs. In addition, SDI claims that these excerpts also contain information concerning its CRTs "production methods, capabilities, customer relations, and strategies." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
18	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0083117	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains "an analysis of SDI's data, divisions, and customers over time" with respect to CRTs. In addition, SDI claims this document also contains information concerning SDI's CRTs "sales processes, business practices, and competitive positions." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
19	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244: "Summary of Long Term Supply Contracts (1998-2001)"	3292, 3453	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains "commercially-sensitive information about SDI's contracts with specific customers, including sales volumes and percentages" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
20	ECF No. 3519: "SDI-SEC Long Term Supply Contract, 1998 - 2001 Workbook"	3519	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains "commercially-sensitive information about SDI's contracts with specific customers, including sales volumes and percentages" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
21	ECF Nos. 1056, 1057: SDCRT-0083118	1076	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains "transactional data" concerning its CRTs business. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 1123.
22	ECF Nos. 1538-1539: SDCRT-0021278-94	1495	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning its "business practices, analysis of markets for display products, and manufacturing process for CRTs." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 1512, above.
23	ECF Nos. 1527-1533: SDCRT-0002805-60	1394	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning its CRTs "sales, capital investments, cost structures and profitability." It further asserts that certain SDI subsidiaries "continue to make and sell CRTs." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 1512, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
24	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0002488-89E (Deposition Exhibit 3841E)	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning SDI's "competitive intelligence, business plans, pricing strategy, production capabilities, and opinions about and negotiations with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
25	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0002506-08E (Deposition Exhibit 5209E)	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning SDI's "competitive intelligence, business plans, pricing strategy, production capabilities, and opinions about and negotiations with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
26	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-006041-42E (Deposition Exhibit 635E)	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning SDI's "competitive intelligence, business plans, pricing strategy, production capabilities, and opinions about and negotiations with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
27	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0069086E (Deposition Exhibit 2091E)	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning SDI's "competitive intelligence, business plans, pricing strategy, production capabilities, and opinions about and negotiations with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
28	ECF Nos. 3274, 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0079381-82 and its English translation (Deposition Exhibit 2070E)	3305, 3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains information concerning SDI's "competitive intelligence, business plans, pricing strategy, production capabilities, and opinions about and negotiations with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." SDI also claims that this document contains information concerning "customers, component suppliers, competitive positions, and market prospects" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
29	ECF Nos. 3280, 3282: SDCRT-0086245-46	3302	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal notes "describing SDI's display markets, competitive positions, customers, and market prospects" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
30	ECF Nos. 2339, 2340, 3271: SDCRT0006041-42 and its English translation	2357, 3297	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal reports about "SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." In addition, SDI claims that this document also describes SDI's "competitive positions, customers, negotiation tactics, and business strategies" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 2383, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.
31	ECF Nos. 1835, 2030, 2320, 2378: SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation	1847, 2036, 2287, 2337, 2394, 2413, 3303	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal reports about "SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Orders 1852, 2211, 2310, 2342, 2425, and 2427, in which the Court adopted the proposed orders on file.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
32	ECF Nos. 1835, 2030, 2279, 2320, 3230, 3231: SDCRT-0086490-92 and its English translation	1847, 2036, 2287, 2337, 3291	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal reports about "SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Orders 1852, 2211, 2310, and 2342, in which the Court adopted the proposed orders on file.
33	ECF Nos. 1835, 2030, 2289, 2320, 2339, 2340, 2378: SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation	1847, 2036, 2287, 2296, 2337, 2357, 2394, 2413	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal reports about "SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing orders on file. <i>See</i> ECF Nos. 1852, 2211, 2309, 2310, 2342, 2383, 2425, and 2427.
34	ECF Nos. 1835, 2289, 2378: SDCRT-002585-87 and its English translation	1847, 2296, 2394, 2413	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record contains internal reports about "SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing orders on file. <i>See</i> ECF Nos. 1852, 2309, 2425, and 2427.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
35	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244, 3271: SDI's Responses to Dell Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories	3292, 3297, 3453	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record describes information concerning SDI's CRTs "sales processes, business practices, negotiating tactics and competitive positions, including its relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
36	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244: SDI's Responses to Dell Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admissions	3292, 3453	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this court record describes information concerning SDI's CRTs "sales processes, business practices, negotiating tactics and competitive positions, including its relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
37	ECF Nos. 1056, 1057, 1527-1533, 1730, 1731, 1835, 2327, 2328, 2339, 2340, 2378, 2968, 2969, 3243, 3244, 3271, 3281, 3286, 3287: SDI's Supplemental Response to Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 4 and 5	1076, 1394, 1680, 1847, 2345, 2357, 2394, 2813, 2413, 3063, 3292, 3297, 3306, 3453	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this document contains "business information about the Samsung SDI Defendants' sales processes, business practices, negotiating tactics and competitive positions, including as to relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to the Samsung SDI Defendants' competitive position...." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 1512, above. <i>See also</i> prior and subsequent Orders 1123, 1698, 1852, 2361, 2383, 2425, 2427, and 2832, in which the Court adopted the proposed orders on file.
38	ECF No. 3271: SDI's Second Supplemental Responses to Direct Action Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 4 and 5	3297	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this document contains "business information about the Samsung SDI Defendants' sales processes, business practices, negotiating tactics and competitive positions, including as to relationships with companies" in the CRTs business and that those companies "remain important to the Samsung SDI Defendants' competitive position...." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
39	ECF No. 3268: SDCRT-0201774	3300	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is "[a] twenty-eight page internal presentation which describes SDI's competitive positions, customers, and business strategies" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
40	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0086722-32 and its English translation (Deposition Exhibit 1899E)	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an "internal market analysis, component demand data, and an analysis of glass supply" in the CRTs business. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
41	ECF No. 3283: SDCRT-0005830-42	3301	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "analyzing and describing SDI's display markets, competitive positions, customers, and sales forecasts" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
42	ECF No. 3283: SDCRT-0006868-69	3301	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "analyzing SDI's competitive positions, customers, display markets, and business strategies" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
43	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: SDCRT-0008147-48E	3306	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "describing and analyzing SDI's customers, distribution chains, negotiating practices, and other competitive positions" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
44	ECF No. 3253: SDCRT-0007240_CT	3296	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "which analyzes and describes SDI's competitive positions, business strategies and customers" with respect to its CRTs business. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
45	ECF No. 3253: SDCRT-0007609 (Deposition Exhibit 2084E)	3296	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "which analyzes and describes SDI's competitive positions, business strategies, negotiating tactics, and pricing decisions" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
46	ECF No. 3253: SDCRT-0007239 and its English translation (Deposition Exhibit 2112E)	3296, 3303	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "which analyzes pricing and describes SDI's competitive positions and business strategies" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
47	ECF No. 3253: SDCRT-0007237 (Deposition Exhibit 2093E)	3296	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "which describes SDI's competitive positions and business strategies" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
48	ECF No. 3264: SDCRT-0086512-13 and its English translation	3304	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "which describes SDI's competitive positions and business strategies" regarding CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
49	ECF No. 3274: SDCRT-0105131-34 and its English translation (Deposition Exhibit 2681E)	3305	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report that describes and analyzes SDI's "display markets, competitive positions, customers, suppliers, and market prospects" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
50	ECF No. 3766: SDCRT-0005946-48 and its English translation	3766	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal SDI email "reporting in detail on the status of sensitive sales negotiations with customers, and reveals confidential information relating to pricing, capacity, material costs, and manufacturing methods" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Court Order - ECF No. 3795: "As the Court has ordered previously, the motions are GRANTED with the proviso that 'the Court will look favorably upon motions to intervene filed by members of the public who wish to access these sealed documents.' ECF No. 1512, at 1."
51	ECF Nos. 2339, 2340: SDCRT-0002582 and its English translation	2357	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim that these documents "appear to be internal SDI reports" about SDI's "market analyses, strategies, business and supply plans, and/or relationships with companies" in the CRTs business that those companies "remain important to SDI's competitive position." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2383.
52	ECF Nos. 1730, 1731, , 2968, 2969: SDCRT-0091353-63	1680, 3063	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim document "which analyzes display markets and describes SDI's competitive positions and business strategies" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 1698, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
53	ECF Nos. 1835, 2289, 2378: SDCRT-0088604-28	1847, 2296, 2394, 2413	CRTs Business Data: SDI claim this is an internal report "about SDI's market analyses, sales strategy, business and supply plans, and relationships with companies that remain important to SDI's competitive position" with respect to CRTs. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing orders on file. See ECF Nos. 1852, 2309, 2425, and 2427.
54	ECF Nos. 3564: Deposition Excerpts of Thomson's witness Meggan Ehret	3564	Information Produced by the Thomson Defendants: SDI claim that this deposition transcript was designated confidential or highly confidential. (But it should be noted that this witness was produced by another defendant in this litigation, and not by the SDI defendants.) SDI also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
55	ECF Nos. 3564, 3773: TSA-CRT00077732	3564, 3773	Information Produced by the Thomson Defendants: SDI claim that this court record "contains, cites, identifies and/or compiles confidential, non-public and sensitive business information, including confidential information concerning SDI's prices" as well as "sensitive, private information relating to personal matters of certain individuals at SDI. (But it should be noted that this document was produced by another defendant in this litigation, and not by SDI.) SDI also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Orders 3626 and 3795, above.
56	ECF No. 3243, 3244, 3410, 3519: "Samsung SDI Personnel Profiles Summary (1998 - 2007)"	3292, 3414, 3453, 3519	Personnel Information: SDI claim this court record contains "sensitive, private information regarding detailed employment histories of SDI's employees." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
57	ECF Nos. 3243, 3244, 3410: SDI's Responses to Dell Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for Admissions	3292, 3414, 3453	Personnel, Management, and Credit Information: SDI claim this document contains information about SDI's "management structure, employment histories of certain individuals at SDI, as well as information relating to SDI's credit guarantees." They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
58	ECF No. 3249: Expert Report of Edward A. Snyder, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3293	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
59	ECF No. 3254: Expert Declaration of Janet S. Netz, dated October 1, 2012, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3294	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
60	ECF No. 3254: Expert Report of James T. McClave, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3294	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
61	ECF No. 3271: Direct Action Plaintiffs' Response to Samsung SDI's Motion Barring Deposition	3297	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
62	ECF No. 3271: SDI's Motion for Barring Deposition Pursuant to Plaintiffs' FRCP 30(b)(6) Notice regarding Plea Issues dated August 15, 2014	3297	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
63	ECF No. 3271: Special Master's Interim Order dated September 15, 2014	3297	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
64	ECF Nos. 3249, 3254, 3272, 3274: Rebuttal Expert Report of Janet S. Netz, dated September 26, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3293, 3294, 3298, 3305	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
65	ECF Nos. 3281, 3286, 3287: Exhibit 2, Alioto Declaration	3306	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
66	ECF No. 3176: Deposition Excerpts of Daniel L. Rubinfeld	3186	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
67	ECF No. 3176: Expert Report of Daniel L. Rubinfeld, dated August 5, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3186	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
68	ECF No. 3176: Expert Report of Daniel L. Rubinfeld and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3186	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
69	ECF No. 3408: Expert Report of Jerry A. Hausman, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3408	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
70	ECF No. 3408: Sur-Rebuttal Expert Report of Daniel L. Rubinfeld, dated November 6, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3408	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
71	ECF Nos. 2968, 2969: Expert Report of Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D., dated November 6, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3063	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.
72	ECF Nos. 3236, 3249, 3272, 3408: Rebuttal Expert Report Kenneth G. Elzinga, dated September 26, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3293, 3298, 3303, 3408, 3413	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
73	ECF No. 3272: Expert Report of Mohan Rao, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3298	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
74	ECF No. 3560: Supplemental Report of Alan S. Frankel, dated June 30, 2014	3560, 3575	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
75	ECF No. 3575: Deposition Excerpts of Alan S. Frankel	3575	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
76	ECF No. 3585: Exhibits B, C, and D to the Hogue Declaration	3610	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
77	ECF No. 3591: Exhibit A to the Lau Declaration	3610	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
78	ECF No. 3601: Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 to the Van Horn Declaration	3610	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
79	ECF Nos. 3272, 3560: Rebuttal Expert Report of Alan S. Frankel, dated September 26, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3298, 3560	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3626, above.
80	ECF No. 3658: Exhibit 1, Randall Declaration	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.
81	ECF No. 3698-3: Exhibits L and M, Messenger Declaration	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
82	ECF No. 3701-4: Exhibit 4, Benson Declaration	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.
83	ECF No. 3706: Exhibits E, F, and G to certain Defendants' Opposition to Sharp's Motion In Limine	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.
84	ECF No. 3709: Exhibit 1 to the Van Horn Declaration	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
85	ECF No. 2980, 2981, 3168, 3243, 3244, 3410, 3454: Expert Report of Stephan Haggard, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	2980, 3168, 3292, 3414, 3453, 3519, 3569	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Orders 3498 and 3626, above.
86	ECF No. 3249, 3254, 3272, 3274, 3281, 3286, 3287: Expert Report of Janet S. Netz, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3293, 3294, 3298, 3305, 3306	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Orders 3498 and 3626, above.
87	ECF Nos. 3254, 3272, 3408: Expert Report of Kenneth G. Elzinga, April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3294, 3295, 3298, 3408	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Orders 3498 and 3626, above.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
88	ECF No. 1691: Dell's First Amended Complaint at 46:18-47:26 and 48:1-9	1715	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 1719.
89	ECF No. 2208: Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Reply concerning Motion for Class Certification and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	2226	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2257.
90	ECF No. 2208: Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Supplemental Responses to Samsung SDI America, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories	2226	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2257.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
91	ECF No. 2208: Reply Report of Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D. and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	2226	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2257.
92	ECF No. 2208: Exhibit 5, Rushing Declaration, Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion to Strike the Proposed Expert Testimony of Dr. Janet S. Netz, and any accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	2226	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2257.
93	ECF No. 2358: Direct Action Plaintiffs' Opposition to Mitsubishi's Motion to Dismiss Complaints	2364	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2385.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
94	ECF No. 2377: Direct Action Plaintiffs' Opposition to Thomson's Motion to Dismiss Complaints	2393	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2424.
95	ECF No. 3254: Expert Report of Alan S. Frankel, dated April 15, 2014, and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	3294, 3575	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Orders 3498 and 3626, above.
96	ECF Nos. 1945, 1946: Expert Report of Robert D. Willig and accompanying exhibits containing SDI's documents	1921	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. (But it should be noted that SDI did not identify those source documents.) They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3498, above. <i>See also</i> prior Order 1492, in which the Court adopted the proposed order on file.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
97	ECF No. 2030: Sharp's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 196, 198, 239, and 240	2036	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2211.
98	ECF No. 2289: Sharp's Opposition to Thomson S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss Sharp's First Amended Complaint at 2:10-22, 5:10-24, 5:27-28, 6:21-24, 10:8-9, and 13:1-9	2296	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2309.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
99	ECF No. 2279: Electrograph's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 152, 153, 156, 159, 160, 238, and 239	2287	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2310.
100	ECF No. 2279: BrandsMart's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 137, 138, 141, 144, 145, 223, and 224	2287	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2310.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
101	ECF No. 2279: Office Depot's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 138, 140, 143, 146, 147, 226, and 227	2287	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2310.
102	ECF No. 2279: P.C. Richard's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 142, 144, 147, 150, 151, 229, and 230	2287	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2310.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
103	ECF No. 2279: Tweeter's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 141, 143, 146, 149, 150, 228, and 229	2287	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2310.
104	ECF No. 2320: Costco's First Amended Complaint at paragraphs 145, 146, 149, 152, 229, and 230	2337	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that this court record describes information from three documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. Those documents are SDCRT-0002526-28 and its English translation, SDCRT-0086490-92, as well as SDCRT-0006632-33 and its English translation. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2342.

Exhibit A to Declaration of Pamela Pham

No.	SDI's Sealed Record	SDI's Request - ECF No.	SDI's Description of Purported Sealable Information and Claims of Potential Harm	Sealing Order
105	ECF No. 2521: Sharp's Motion for Leave to Amend Sharp's First Amended Complaint, Attachments A and B	2526	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that "upon information and belief," this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. SDI did not identify those source documents, however. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2257.
106	ECF No. 2622: Sharp's Second Amended Complaint, paragraphs 194, 196, 237 and 238	2624	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claim that "upon information and belief," this court record describes information from documents that SDI produced in this litigation and designated as confidential or highly confidential pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order. SDI did not identify those source documents, however. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	The Court adopted the proposed sealing order on file. <i>See</i> ECF No. 2626.
107	ECF No. 3648-3: SDCRT-0002520-22	3714	Subject to Stipulated Protective Order: SDI claims that this is a document "designated 'highly confidential' by SDI" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. They also claim based on information and belief, that public disclosure would cause irreparable harm to the SDI companies and customers.	Same as Order 3795, above.